| UTC Project Information                  |   |
|--|---|
| Project Title                            | MPC 439 – Precast Bridge Girder Details for Improved Performance  |
| University                               | South Dakota State University   |
| Principal Investigator                   | Nadim Wehbe   |
| PI Contact Information                   | Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering<br>South Dakota State University<br>Brookings, SD 57007<br>Phone: (605)688-4291<br>Email: nadim.wehbe@sdstate.edu   |
| Funding Agencies                         | USDOT, Research and Innovative Technology Administration  |
| Agency ID or Contract<br>Number          | DTRT12-G-UTC08, Modification No. 1  |
| Project Cost                             | \$160,000   |
| Start and End Dates                      | January 1, 2013- December 31, 2013  |
| Project Duration                         | 1 Year  |
| Brief Description of<br>Research Project | Many bridges on the local highway system need replacement. Local governments rely on the South Dakota Department of Transportation (SDDOT) to help replace the deficient bridges. With limited resources, SDDOT can only help replace about 30 bridges statewide each year, causing a backlog of local bridge in need of replacement.<br>The current standard bridge used in these replacements is the double tee precast girder bridge for its relatively low construction cost, outsourced design, and short construction duration. The expected design life of these bridges was 50 to 70 years, but some built less than 40 years ago already need replacement. The most common problem is that longitudinal joints become damaged over time, most likely due to inadequate shear transfer between the girders, allowing water and debris to enter the joints. It is only a matter of time before the joint begins to spall, creating a path for moisture to reach the prestressing steel, initiate corrosion, and degrade the structural capacity of the bridge. It should also be noted that the double tee should be designed for girder continuity, often achieved by a reinforced concrete overlay or transverse post tensioning. Many local bridges are not designed for girder continuity, however, resulting in longitudinal joint deterioration and a non-redundant structure. |

|  | alleviated through improved joint connection details or transvers post<br>tension. Hanna et al. (2009) presents a review of the various practices<br>in the transverse design and detailing of adjacent-box-girder bridges<br>and discussed the basis for calculating the transverse post-tensioning<br>force according to PCI's Precast Prestressed Concrete Bridge Design<br>Manual. In a previous SDDOT study (SD2010-02), a bridge near Sioux<br>Falls, SD was inspected and this longitudinal joint problem was found<br>to be severe for simply supported double tee girders with asphalt<br>overlay on deck also. Li et al. (2010 a, b, c) conducted a series of<br>studies on applying improved longitudinal joint details in decked bulb<br>tee girders. The proposed detail relays mainly on grout and steel<br>connectors to provide the joints with strength to resist transverse<br>bending. In Li's study, the proposed details were tested using small<br>specimens, which did not completely simulate the true load and<br>deformation demands at these joints under realistic boundary<br>conditions. Numerical studies were also used to evaluate similar<br>problems, such as a joint spring model developed by Smitha et al.<br>(2011). |
|--|---|
|  | Routine maintenance of these bridges does increase the life span, but<br>is not a feasible long-term solution. The amount of routine<br>maintenance required to keep the joints sealed is too costly for local<br>governments. Other methods, such as asphalt overlays, are also<br>expensive and can cause increased damage over time by trapping<br>moisture that eventually reaches the prestressing steel.  |
|  | <ul> <li>Research Objectives:</li> <li>1) Identify alternatives to the double tee precast girder for improved shear transfer between longitudinal joints and reduced joint degradation.</li> <li>2) Perform load testing on alternative girder(s) and double tee girder, and compare results.</li> </ul>  |
| Describe Implementation of<br>Research Outcomes (or<br>why not implemented)<br>Place Any Photos Here | The monolithic joint provides substantially improved serviceability and<br>strength performance characteristics over the discrete welded joint at<br>no significant increase in initial construction cost. The joint service life<br>may well exceed the bridge design life of 75 years. The joint is water-<br>tight, exhibits negligible stiffness degradation, leads to better<br>distribution of the support reaction to the girder stems, and engages<br>adjacent girders at the strength limit state.   |
| Impacts/Benefits of<br>Implementation<br>(actual, not anticipated)                                   | The monolithic joint detail developed in this study was adopted by<br>South Dakota Department of Transportation for the design of new<br>precast double tee girder bridges.   |
| Web Links <ul> <li>Reports</li> <li>Project Website</li> </ul>                                       | https://www.ugpti.org/resources/reports/details.php?id=895  |